

CORE Project

Application and Selection Guidelines



Australian Government
Department of Industry,
Science and Resources

**Cooperative Research
Centres Program**

CONTENT

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 3 |
| 2. | CORE Project Funding | 4 |
| 3. | Summary of the CORE Project Funding Opportunity | 5 |
| 4. | Applying for CORE Project Funding | 9 |
| 5. | Operating Principles for CORE Projects..... | 10 |
| 5.1. | Industry-led Project..... | 10 |
| 5.2. | Project Funding Criteria | 10 |
| 6. | Purpose of the Project Application..... | 11 |
| 7. | Project Selection Criteria | 11 |
| 8. | Project Agreement | 12 |
| 9. | Project Management Roles and Structure..... | 12 |
| 9.1. | PMC Composition..... | 13 |
| 9.2. | PMC Meetings | 13 |
| 9.3. | Project Management and Reporting..... | 13 |
| | APPENDIX..... | 14 |
| | APPENDIX A: CORE Project Selection Criteria..... | 14 |
| | APPENDIX B: Definition of Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs) | 16 |
| | APPENDIX C: AMCRC Project Preparation and Selection Processes..... | 18 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The Additive Manufacturing CRC (AMCRC) is a \$57.5 million Commonwealth-backed Cooperative Research Centre that helps Australian industry unlock the transformative potential of additive manufacturing.

Established in July 2025, AMCRC brings together 12 leading Australian universities, CSIRO, and over 60 industry and membership organisations to co-invest in industry-led R&D projects and education programs that strengthen Australia’s manufacturing capability and build a world-class additive manufacturing (AM) ecosystem.

Driven by a bold “Australia Makes” vision, AMCRC focuses on translating cutting-edge AM research into commercial outcomes. Its activities are directed towards accelerating innovation, improving supply chain resilience and sustainability, and supporting the growth of local manufacturing.

Through this collaborative approach, AMCRC aims to deliver new technologies, real-world industry applications, workforce capability development, and a more sustainable manufacturing future that enhances Australia’s competitiveness and generates long-term economic, environmental, and social value.

More information about the CRC can be found on the [AMCRC website \(https://www.amcrc.com.au\)](https://www.amcrc.com.au).

PURPOSE

These guidelines set out the requirements, processes and assessment framework for applicants seeking CORE Project funding under AMCRC’s Collaborative Funding Program.

Specifically, the guidelines describe:

- Purpose and objectives of the program
- Eligibility and assessment criteria
- Application requirements and submission process
- Project consideration and selection process
- Project administration and management, including responsibilities and expectations in relation to the opportunity

The guidelines should be read in conjunction with AMCRC’s strategic priorities and four research programs.

Application must be submitted to AMCRC by the lead applicant. Applicants and project partners are encouraged to engage with AMCRC prior to submission to discuss project scope, alignment and eligibility.

Enquires should be directed to:

info@amcrc.com.au.

2. CORE PROJECT FUNDING

AMCRC's CORE Project Funding supports multi-year, industry-led research projects that accelerate the development, adoption, and commercialisation of additive manufacturing (AM) in Australia.

Spanning the entire AM value chain, the initiative aims to bring together manufacturers, researchers, technology providers, material suppliers and certifying bodies in highly collaborative projects aligned with AMCRC's vision of creating a sustainable and resilient AM future for Australia.

With \$57.5m of Commonwealth funding available to co-invest in AM research and development, the CORE project initiative has been designed to

- Strengthen Australia's advanced manufacturing capability
- Accelerate industry uptake of AM technologies
- Explore new business models
- Harness sustainable materials and strengthen supply chains
- Support commercialisation and scale-up
- Build enduring industry–research partnerships
- Deliver long-term economic and community benefit.

AMCRC will invest in collaborative research projects that are led by industry and delivered by one or multiple of AMCRC's partner universities and/or CSIRO. Projects must address industry-specific problems, span across multiple years, contribute to the programs overarching objectives and support one or more of AMCRC's four research programs:

- PROGRAM 1 - Sustainable manufacturing
- PROGRAM 2 - Application and materials development
- PROGRAM 3 - Technology and certified process development
- PROGRAM 4 - Surface technologies and post processing

Projects should align with Australia priority sectors such as defence and aerospace, energy, resources, medtech, transport and advanced industrial manufacturing.

3. SUMMARY OF THE CORE PROJECT FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

The following provides a summary of the CORE Project funding opportunity, including criteria:

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Valuation</p> | <p>For eligible project expenditure, AMCRC matches industry cash contributions on a dollar-for-dollar basis (i.e. AMCRC co-funds up to 50% of the total cash value of the project).</p> <p>Research organisations that are already partner within the AMCRC are not required to contribute cash.</p> |
| <p>Eligible Applicants</p> | <p>Companies that have signed a Partnership Agreement with AMCRC by 1 July 2025 will be prioritised for funding, in line with the cash and in-kind contributions nominated in their respective agreements.</p> <p>Subject to funding availability, new companies may apply, provided they are Australian-registered businesses or manufacturers — with a particular focus on supporting the Australian additive manufacturing supply chain.</p> |
| <p>Eligible Research Partner Organisations</p> <p>(projects must be undertaken with one or more of these partners)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charles Darwin University • CSIRO • Curtin University • Deakin University • Flinders University • Griffith University • Monash University • Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT) • Swinburne University of Technology (SUT) • Adelaide University • University of Queensland (UQ) • University of Technology Sydney (UTS) • University of Western Sydney |
| <p>Manufacturing Readiness Level (MRL)</p> | <p>Eligible projects must address MRL 4-7 at commencement and be designed to advance the technology / process by at least one MRL level, ideally two, over the course of the project.</p> <p>Projects may include elements of earlier or later MRLs, provided they meet the overall eligibility and assessment criteria.</p> <p>Refer Appendix B of these Guidelines for the MRL framework, definitions and descriptions.</p> |
| <p>Commercialisation / Implementation Timeframe</p> | <p>Commercialisation is expected to occur within two years of completing the project. Exception may be considered on a case-by-case basis, where the project partner(s) plan to continue investing and progressing through the next MRL stages should the project prove successful.</p> |
| <p>Project Activities</p> | <p>Project activities should align with AMCRC's four research programs and enable AM research and technology development, including, but not limited to:</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>PROGRAM 1 - Sustainable manufacturing Support industry in advancing sustainable, inclusive and digitally enabled AM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process optimisation - sustainable sourcing, circular economy, and end-of-life strategies • Sustainable business models and supply chains • Environmental and societal impact studies • Human factors and Industry 5.0 • Application of digital and automation technologies within manufacturing and supply chains <p>PROGRAM 2 - Application and materials development Investigate specialised industrial applications, design optimisation (DfAM) and materials tailored for defence, transport and healthcare</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Novel materials (metals, polymers, composites, and construction materials) • Optimisation of key properties (e.g., processability, strength, durability, and thermal performance) • Upcycling and local production of feedstocks <p>PROGRAM 3 - Technology and process development Support industry to integrate data collection, simulation, modelling and new production and post-production techniques</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smart AM technologies and processes • Validation and certification • Sovereign manufacturing capabilities • New energy sources <p>PROGRAM 4 - Surface technologies and post-processing Support the development of surface treatments, finishing, and coating technologies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Durable and functional surface modifications • Repair methods to restore surfaces to design or operational performance standards • Automation of finishing processes • Post-processing |
| Additional merit considered | <p>Projects which involve multi-party collaboration between industry and university, and/or engage suppliers and customers across the broader supply chain.</p> <p>Projects which are aligned with, or complementary to, the National Reconstruction Fund priority sectors and Australia’s National Science Priorities.</p> |
| Project (new) Intellectual Property (IP) | <p>Project IP will be owned by the Industry Lead Partner(s), unless otherwise agreed by the project parties.</p> <p>AMCRC does not seek ownership of any new IP created through funded projects.</p> |
| Background Intellectual Property (IP) | <p>Background IP, and any improvements to that background IP, will remain the property of the party or parties that contributed the IP.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| Eligible Project Expenditure | Cost of employee salaries plus up to 30% on-costs (such as superannuation and other employment costs, where these costs are directly attributable to the research project(s) and incurred in undertaking research activities). |
| | Operating costs including for example the cost for consumables, materials, prototypes, prototype tooling, software licenses, rental or hire of dedicated tools or systems, energy and utilities. |
| | Operating and 'out of pocket' costs for directly related and relevant project management |
| | Operating and 'out of pocket' costs for directly related and relevant travel, marketing, communications, etc |
| | Operating cost directly attributed to % of faculties access charges to conduct the direct research. |
| Ineligible Expenditure (Only considered as Other In-Kind) | Costs for initial IP protection and utilisation / commercialisation planning. |
| | Costs for buildings and facilities, or any purchase cost of capital equipment or production tooling |
| | Costs for the involvement of tenured management, senior staff, or key researchers otherwise directly employed by the research organisation in the project. |
| | Costs to reimburse any Partner for in-kind contributions |
| | Costs to pay a Partner for indirect costs of research in relation to CRC programme-funded staff located in their organisation; or |
| | Cost for the indirect support of research conducted overseas. |
| | Cost for Research Partner research costs |
| AMCRC Matching Cash Contribution | Overall investment level: Minimum: \$250k – Maximum: \$5m |
| | Investment per annum: Minimum: \$100k – Maximum: \$1m |
| | Note: Large projects should employ at least one full time researcher at the chosen lead research institution(s) to do the project work. |
| Project Term: | Projects are expected to be completed within a 2 – 5-year timeframe. Shorter, higher intensity may be considered where appropriately justified. |
| In-kind Contribution: | AMCRC requires all in-kind contributions to be identified, determined and appropriately valued by the project parties. The combined value of Research and Industry in-kind contributions (including staff and other in-kind) must be no less than 1.5 time the value of the total project cash contribution. |
| Operational Expenses: | Operational expenses should not exceed 30% of the total project cash. |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| | <p>However, costs of up to 50% may be considered where required for specialised materials, testing, or processes.</p> |
| <p>Student Involvement</p> | <p>Projects exceeding \$1,000,000 in total cash value should, where possible, include a PhD or Master's by Research student position. This inclusion will enhance the merit of the project.</p> <p>Industry cash contributions in support of student positions will be matched by AMCRC cash contributions.</p> <p>Ph.D. candidates supported under a Research Training Program (RTP) scholarship who are associated with a funded project and contribute to its outcomes under the approved research plan may be eligible for a project funded top-up, supported via matched contributions. This approach aims to attract and retain high-performing graduates.</p> <p>All students involved in an AMCRC funded project must sign a Deed with the relevant Research Partner, assigning intellectual property rights to that partner. This ensures that the student-generated IP is managed in accordance with the project agreement, including applicable IP terms and conditions.</p> |

4. APPLYING FOR CORE PROJECT FUNDING

CORE Project funding is subject to continuity and availability of Commonwealth funding provided to AMCRC.

CORE Project funding cannot be used to support activities or costs that are already funded by other government sources, including Commonwealth, State or Territory programs.

Project applications may only be submitted *during an active funding round*, as advertised on the AMCRC website.

Project application must meet AMCRC's project funding and section criteria and be approved by AMCRC.

Only complete and compliant project application, including all required supporting documentation and financial budget, will be assessed following the close of the funding round, in line with the published timelines

CORE Project application and assessment process will typically involve two stages:

- Assessment and scoring of the application by the Project Investment Committee (PIC)
- Short presentation by the industry representative to the PIC, followed by a 15-minute Q&A session

Successful applicants will be required to enter into a formal Project Funding Agreement with AMCRC and relevant project parties, setting out the terms and conditions under which funding is provided. Recipients must comply with the terms of the project funding agreement.

5. OPERATING PRINCIPLES FOR CORE PROJECTS

The management framework and budget structures applicable to CORE Projects are underpinned by a set of key operating principles, outlined below. These principles are derived from the provisions of the AMCRC Commonwealth Agreement, Partner’s Agreement and other relevant AMCRC governance and business processes.

5.1. Industry-led Project

Industry partners define a R&D problem aligned with AMCRC’s four Research Programs, which span the additive manufacturing value chain in Australia. This provides a clear foundation for research partners to develop a collaborative research plan and budget that deliver an effective solution and measurable outcomes within the project timeframe.

Overview of AMCRC’s Research Programs

| | |
|--|---|
| Program 1. Sustainable Manufacturing | 1.1 Sustainability-ESG Frameworks |
| | 1.2 Human Factors and Industry 5.0 |
| | 1.3 Digital Ecosystem |
| | 1.4 Industry Transformation |
| Program 2. Application and Materials Development | 2.1 Advanced Metal Alloys |
| | 2.2 High Performance Polymers |
| | 2.3 Sustainable Materials |
| | 2.4 Construction Materials |
| Program 3. Technology and Process Development | 3.1 Smart AM Technologies |
| | 3.2 Validation and Certification |
| | 3.3 Sovereign Manufacturing Capabilities in Feedstock and Post Processing |
| | 3.4 Optimised Energy Source Application |
| Program 4. Surface Technologies and Post Processing | 4.1 Durable and Functional Surface Technology |
| | 4.2 Repair and Remanufacture of Components |
| | 4.3 Bioactive and Low Environmental Impact Surface Coatings |
| | 4.4 Post Processing of AM Parts |

5.2. Project Funding Criteria

AMCRC maintains oversight of the delivery of agreed milestones across the whole investment portfolio. Each research project is governed by an individual Project Agreements, which sets out the applicable terms and conditions.

New **intellectual property (IP)** generated through the project will be owned by the Industry Lead Partner, unless otherwise specifically agreed by the project parties. Background IP, and any improvements to Background IP, will remain the property of the party or parties that introduced the IP, as recorded in the project IP register.

Each project must appoint an **accountable Project Leader (Research Partner)** and a Managing Customer (Industry Partner). The key accountability of the Research Partner(s), for an industry-led project, is the definition and execution of the approved project plan, the management and delivery of the agreed project outcomes in accordance with the Project Agreement.

Projects are structured around a series of defined milestones, which, where possible, are aligned with **Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs)** (see [APPENDIX B](#)). The Research Partner(s) are paid upon acceptance of a report confirming satisfactory completion of milestones. Quarterly progress reports must be approved by the Project Leader, Chair of the Project Management Committee (an industry representative) and AMCRC.

AMCRC project funding enables Industry and Research Partners to **co-invest in highly valued, collaborative partnerships** that address identified capability gaps. Each project must intend to strengthen Australia's additive manufacturing capabilities, positively impact SMEs, create jobs, and importantly deliver marketable outcomes that enable access to broader Australian, and potentially global, supply chains.

Industry Partners are invoiced on monthly or quarterly, as specified in the Project Agreement, and must pay AMCRC in advance of costs being incurred for agreed and budgeted research activities. Payment must be received no later than seven days prior to the end of the previous payment term to ensure coverage of upcoming project research costs.

Research organisations are paid quarterly in arrears, in-line with terms of the Project Agreement and subject to the approval of required reports.

6. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT APPLICATION

To initiate the project selection process, a project application must be completed and submitted by the Project Leader (Research Partner) using the template which accompanies these guidelines. The template comprises sections that align with the requirements of the Project Agreement and the CORE Project Funding and Selection Criteria.

The project application must clearly

- Articulate industry demand for the proposed project outcomes
- Specify the technology and manufacturing readiness of the project
- Explain how the project will contribute to broader objectives, including knowledge diffusion, business innovation and/or industrial transformation
- Provide sound justification for the investment, including estimated costs and anticipated benefits to the Research Partner and, where relevant, to SMEs, the wider manufacturing sector and the Australian economy

The project application must also outline a clear project management and implementation strategy, including governance arrangements overseen by the Project Management Committee to ensure effective delivery of the project.

7. PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

Each project application will be assessed against defined selection criteria set out in [APPENDIX A](#) to these Guidelines.

AMCRC will only consider industry-led projects that:

- Commence at MRL 4 or higher, and
- Clearly demonstrate how the project will advance the material/technology/process by at least one MRL level, and ideally more, by project completion (see [APPENDIX B](#)).

Project must include research milestone and outcomes that are aligned with AMCRC Research Programs and contribute to AMCRC's overarching objectives and performance measures, including fostering collaborative investment in additive manufacturing and innovation that support the transformation of the Australian manufacturing sector.

Project applications that do not adequately address the selection criteria, or are otherwise incomplete or non-compliant, will be rejected or returned for revision prior to assessment by AMCRC's Project Investment Committee (PIC).

The PIC will assess project applications using a scoring- based assessment process, which will typically include:

- An individual review of the submitted project application by each PIC member
- Presentation by the Industry Partner(s) – typically a 10 minute “pitch”, followed by a 15 minute Q&A

In determining whether a project application proceeds to contracting and funding, the PIC will consider:

- The application score and pitch presentation,
- Responses to questions raised during the assessment
- Alignment with AMCRC's objectives and research programs
- Completeness and quality of the project application,
- The level of funding requested, and
- Any other considerations deemed relevant by the PIC

Further details on project selection, management and reporting processes are provided in [APPENDIX C](#) to these guidelines.

Following review and assessment of all project applications by the PIC, AMCRC Management will prepare a report for the AMCRC Managing Director and Board, including recommendations regarding funding support.

8. PROJECT AGREEMENT

Project partners will be required to enter into a formal and legally binding Project Agreement with AMCRC. The Project Agreement will set out the terms and conditions under which funding is provided, including (but not limited to) payment schedules, project management and risk management requirements, IP ownership, performance monitoring, reporting obligations, audit and record-keeping requirements, insurances and indemnities. The Project Agreement will incorporate AMCRC's General Terms and Conditions, which are non-negotiable.

A template Project Agreement is available to prospective applicants upon request to AMCRC.

9. PROJECT MANAGEMENT ROLES AND STRUCTURE

The Project Management Committee (PMC) for the project will be responsible for project governance at the research and project team level. The PMC oversee the delivery of the project and monitor the research progress against timelines, milestones and budgets.

9.1. PMC Composition

The PMC will comprise if the following members:

- Chair who must be an industry representative and not a research partner
- Project leader who represents the research partner
- Relevant members of the research team as determined by the project leader
- Representative from each organisation that is a party of the Project Agreement organisation (including AMCRC)
- Other relevant stakeholders and/or end users as requested by the AMCRC executive

9.2. PMC Meetings

PMC meetings must be held at least twice per quarter (typically mid- and end-of-quarter). The meetings can be conducted either in person or via teleconference or video call, and may, where appropriate, be aligned with the research partners' existing project meetings.

AMCRC's Managing Director may convene a PMC meeting at any time for the purposes of obtaining the project status update or confirming progress.

9.3. Project Management and Reporting

The project leader will be responsible for the day-to-day project management within the research organisation. The project leader is expected to act as the project champion, providing leadership to the project team and maintaining regular, clear and consistent communications with all project partners. The project leader will work collaboratively with the PMC and report to AMCRC through the nominated member(s) of the AMCRC research management team.

In addition to participating in the PMC, AMCRC's research management team will administrate the project in accordance with the AMCRC Project Management Guidelines. This includes:

- Providing access and maintenance of the AMCRC project reporting platform,
- Reviewing project reports and milestones submissions,
- Monitoring progress, risk and issues,
- Reporting to the Commonwealth as required, and
- Coordinating PMC meetings, where necessary.

The project management framework / structure, including schedules for reporting, reporting tools and the responsibilities of project teams and leaders, is detailed in the AMCRC Project Management Guidelines.

This document is available upon request from AMCRC and is typically shared with project partners following the approval of the Project Plan application and during the development of the formal Project Agreement.

Once the Project Agreement is signed and officially executed, a project kick off meeting will be hosted where all project management aspects are discussed.

All project reporting must be completed by project partners using AMCRC's cloud-based platform. AMCRC will provide login credentials and other instructions regarding the use of this platform.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A: CORE Project Selection Criteria

Applicants must complete all sections and respond to each question set out in the AMCRC application template, ensuring that responses are directly aligned with the relevant questions and assessment criteria.

AMCRC reserves the right to request additional information or clarification as required to assess any application.

Applications will be assessed in accordance with the following selection criteria:

| Assessment Criteria | Requirements | Weighting (Points) |
|--|---|--------------------|
| WHY, WHAT AND HOW | | |
| Problem / Opportunity | <i>Describe the problem, gap, or opportunity that the project will address. Your response must clearly explain how the proposed science, engineering, and research activities will directly respond to and help resolve this industry need.</i> | 6 |
| Outcomes | <i>Describe the key outcomes and explain how they will address the identified problem or opportunity within the industry partner's business context.</i> | 6 |
| R&D Plans Strategic Fit with AMCRC? | | |
| Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs)? | <i>Specify the starting and target Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRL) and provide justifications for their selection. Refer to Appendix A for key activities and definitions associated with each MRL stage.</i> | 1 |
| Advanced Technologies and Innovations? | <i>Describe the advanced technologies and/or innovative approaches that will be adopted, and explain what makes this project unique.</i> | 1 |
| Sustainable Manufacturing | <i>Describe how the R&D will contribute to sustainable manufacturing as outlined in Research Program. ESG considerations should be included.</i> | 1 |
| Collaboration | <i>Outline how you will encourage effective collaboration between industry and university partners.</i> | 1 |
| Alignment with AMCRC's Research Program(s) | <i>Nominate and describe the project's alignment with AMCRC's Research Programs, selecting a primary and, if appropriate, a secondary research area.</i> | 1 |
| PROJECT BENEFITS | | |
| Benefits to Industrial Partner(s) | <i>Outline the significant benefits that the project will deliver (e.g. value, competitive advantage, skills, business models, sustainability, investment)?</i> | 6 |
| Broader Benefits and Strategic Opportunity? | | |
| Benefits to 'Others' | <i>Highlight the benefits the project will provide to broader Australian manufacturing and the community (e.g. supply chains, consumers, the public, and research organisations).</i> | 2 |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Commercial Potential | <i>Define the anticipated market, return on investment (ROI), and commercial opportunity (e.g. market size, share, export potential, job creation, revenue generation, and IP utilisation)?</i> | 2 |
| Industry Partner Priority | <i>Provide evidence that this project is a strategic priority for the industry partner(s) (e.g. leadership buy-in, allocation of resources, financial commitment)?</i> | 2 |
| ADOPTION & UTILISATION | | |
| Adoption Strategy | <i>Describe the industry partner(s) plans to adopt the technology/services within their business, including where and how it will be used?</i> | 2 |
| Adoption Timeframe and Investment | <i>Outline the key steps, timeframe and investment needed to take the work (post-project) from nominally prototype to real world application?</i> | 2 |
| MARKET BARRIERS AND NEW OPPORTUNITIES | | |
| Market Barriers | <i>Identify and define the target market barriers, and how can they be addressed or overcome? -</i> | 2 |
| New Market Opportunities | <i>Describe opportunities that exist to access new markets, both within Australia and internationally</i> | 2 |

APPENDIX B: Definition of Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRLs)

Manufacturing Readiness Level (MRL) is a measure developed by the United States' Department of Defense (DOD) to assess the maturity of manufacturing readiness, similar to how Technology Readiness Levels (TRL) are used for technology readiness. The intent was to create a measurement scale that would serve the same purpose for manufacturing readiness as TRLs serve for technology readiness – to provide a common metric and vocabulary for assessing and discussing manufacturing maturity, risk and readiness. They can be used in general industry assessments, or for more specific applications in assessing capabilities of organisations, possible suppliers, etc.

MRLs are quantitative measures used to assess the maturity of a given technology, component or system from a manufacturing perspective. They are used to provide decision makers at all levels with a common understanding of the relative maturity and potential risks associated with manufacturing technologies, products, and processes being considered. Manufacturing risk identification and management should begin at the earliest stages of technology development and continue vigorously throughout each stage of a program's life-cycles.

MRLs were designed with a numbering system to be roughly congruent with comparable levels of TRLs for synergy and ease of understanding and use.

MRLs provide a common language and standard, for example, in:

- Assessing the manufacturing maturity of a technology, product, or manufacturing process
- Understanding the level of manufacturing risk to produce a system or transitioning a technology into a system
- Integration of manufacturing into the acquisition process and milestone decisions
- Establishing the agenda for manufacturing risk management within existing Systems Engineering processes and technical reviews
- Achieving manufacturing maturity at critical acquisition decision points
- Pinpointing potential risk areas through independent Manufacturing Readiness Assessments

Manufacturing Readiness Assessments (MRAs) address these unanswered questions in order to reduce manufacturing risk. However, it still does not address the question of whether the product is reliable or maintainable.

AMCRC encourages partners of TRL and MRL terminology and milestones in their project plans. This supports,:

- A common language and consistent performance measures across projects
- Clear articulation of and alignment with AMCRC's focus areas for both research projects and business and industry transformation projects
- Effective development and management of IP Utilisation Plans, outcome planning and measurement and project reporting.

Further information is available at:

https://www.dodmrl.com/MRL_Deskbook_2022_20221001_Final.pdf

MANUFACTURING READINESS LEVELS – CORE PROJECT FOCUS IS MRL4 – MRL7

| Enabling Science & Technology Capability | | | Material Solution Analysis | Technology Maturation & Risk Reduction | | Engineering & Manufacturing Development | | Production Deployment | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| MRL1-3 | | | MRL 4 | MRL 5 | MRL 6 | MRL 7 | MRL 8 | MRL 9 | MRL 10 | |
| Sustainable Industry Base | Global trends in emerging industries and sustainability identified | Identified gaps in industry base and sustainability | Sustainability sources and industry capabilities identified, addressing system concepts, social factors, and gaps. | Industry base and sustainability capacities assessed, with key processes and manufacturing technology initiatives defined. | Industry base analysis begun to identify sustainable sources and mitigate risks, with manufacturing technology in development. | Industrial base analysis confirms sustainable capacity, supporting articles in a production-relevant environment. | Industry base sustainability analysed, with manufacturing articles demonstrated in a representative environment. | Industry sustainability analysis complete; ready for implementation. Primary manufacturing validated on the pilot line. | The industrial base's sustainable capacity and capability are established to support full-rate production. | Industry base supports sustainable full-rate production with ongoing improvements. |
| Application & Design | Technology-production hypotheses developed; design and capability gaps identified. | Studies test technology factors, and analyse solution feasibility. | System concepts evaluated for manufacturability and producibility through experiments, modeling, and simulations. Performance, lifecycle, technical requirements, and design trade-offs assessed. | Initial producibility assessment completed; form, fit, and function constraints identified. Strategy developed to validate lifecycle risks, with key requirements supporting preliminary design and prototype release. | Key technology producibility and manufacturability initiated. Design trades assessed processes, with performance requirements supporting design choices. DIAM efforts started, with requirements, subsystem data, and mitigation plans supporting reviews. | Technology performance and producibility assessed, guiding manufacturing plans and design choices. DIAM efforts ongoing. Design supports requirements supporting preliminary design and prototype release. | Producibility trade studies completed. DIAM and manufacturing reassessments ongoing. Design supports critical review. Essential manufacturing data released. Risks identified with mitigation plans. | System-level producibility improvements implemented, with risks and issues managed. Detailed design of features and interfaces complete. All essential product data for manufacturing released. Pilot line demonstrations | Prior producibility improvements analysed for low-rate initial production. Major design features and configurations stable. System design validated, and low-rate production meets quality standards. | Design producibility improvements demonstrated in full-rate production. Ongoing process improvements. Product design stable. |
| Materials | New material properties identified for research, with hazardous materials and safety procedures in place. | Potential effects of new material properties on design, manufacturability, and quality predicted, with supply chain gaps and regulatory requirements assessed. | New material effects on design, manufacturability, and quality validated through experiments and models. Safety risks identified, alternatives evaluated, and handling procedures applied. | New materials and components demonstrated in the lab. Quantities, lead times, safety, and compliance risks addressed, with handling and disposal procedures applied. | Materials produced in a prototype environment, with availability risks addressed for long-term production. Gaps in special handling identified and processes demonstrated in the lab. | Material maturity verified through demonstrations. Specifications, properties, safety, and handling procedures confirmed in production, with manufacturing assessment for | Material maturity confirmed for pilot line build. Specifications approved, with safety and handling demonstrated. Work instructions for pilot line and material handling provided. | Material validated for low-rate production. Specifications stable. Supply chain secured, including secondary sources. Safety and handling demonstrated at pilot scale. | Materials controlled to specification in low-rate production and validated for full-rate. Supply chain secure, with procurement schedules and safety/handling demonstrated for full-rate | Materials controlled to specifications in full-rate production. Risks mitigated, with a proven supply chain. Safety and handling demonstrated at full-rate production. |
| Process Capability & Control | Modeling and simulation tools identified to support manufacturing and quality. Hypotheses developed on process variables, stability, repeatability, and future manufacturing yields. | Modeling and simulation initiated, with studies on cause effect, yields, and rates. Initial process approaches identified. | Manufacturing and quality gaps identified through modeling and simulation. Cause-effect relationships and critical control variables validated in the lab. Initial yield and rate estimates for system concepts determined. | Modeling and simulation defined manufacturing and quality requirements. Critical process maturity assessed, with capability requirements, improvement plans, and yield assessments completed. Gap closure strategies identified. | Modeling identified component constraints; process maturity assessed in production. Capability requirements for pilot, low-rate, and full-rate production set, with yield and rate targets. Issues identified and improvement plans developed. | Modeling and simulations identified subsystem/system constraints. Manufacturing processes demonstrated, with capability data and yield evaluations refining requirements and informing the improvement plan. | Modeling identified system constraints and improvement opportunities. Manufacturing processes demonstrated in a production environment. Process capability data collected, and yields evaluated against pilot line targets to inform the improvement plan. | Simulation verified by pilot line build, demonstrating low-rate production feasibility. Process capability data met targets, refining requirements for low and full-rate production. Pilot targets achieved, and yield rates for full-rate production refined. Improvement plans | Simulations verified low-rate and full-rate production requirements. Stable, controlled manufacturing processes meet low-rate objectives. Variability experiments show full-rate impact, with yield and rate targets achieved. | Simulations verify and manage full-rate production builds. Manufacturing processes are stable, controlled, and capable, meeting full-rate production objectives. Yield and rate targets achieved, with ongoing improvements. |
| Product Quality | State-of-the-art quality metrology surveyed; hypotheses on technology variables' impact on quality developed. | Studies tested technology variables' impact on quality, identifying key factors like materials, processes, and capability limitations. | System concept quality evaluated via experiments, modeling, and simulation. Initial quality requirements, risks, and inspection technologies identified. | Product quality, inspection strategy, and risk mitigation evaluated and documented in engineering plans. | Roles defined for acceptance testing, inspections, and statistical process control in prototypes. | Key characteristics management approach defined, with initial requirements set for acceptance testing, inspections, and test | Quality data analysed to guide improvements; control plans finalized for key characteristics. Test and inspection plans in development for engineering | Key characteristics managed with controls (e.g., SPC, audits, corrective actions). Pilot line data meets requirements, and test/inspection plans validated for production units. | Quality data analysed to guide improvements; control plans finalized for key characteristics. Test and inspection plans in development for engineering | Key Characteristics controlled at rate. Results achieve targeted statistical level on all Key Characteristics. Results reflect continuous improvement. |
| Manufacturing Workforce | Workforce skill sets to support emerging trends in manufacturing and technology surveyed | Workforce skill sets to support emerging trends in manufacturing and technology evaluated | Workforce skill set requirements for system concepts identified. Workforce skill set gaps identified | Skill sets identified, plans developed, and alternatives analysed. Training needs defined, and workforce availability assessed to reduce technology risks. | Skill sets identified, and plans developed for prototype and production needs. Certification and training requirements established. | Manufacturing workforce skills available, with resources and initial plans for pilot line and production. | Manufacturing resource requirements identified, with plans for pilot line and Low Rate Initial Production workforce. Pilot line workforce trained in a production environment. | Manufacturing resource requirements identified, with plans for Low Rate Initial Production and Full Rate Production workforce. Personnel trained on the pilot line. | Low Rate Initial Production personnel requirements met, and Full Rate Production workforce plan implemented. | Full Rate Production personnel requirements met, with workforce skills maintained despite local attrition. |
| TRL 1-3 | | | TRL 4 | TRL 5 | TRL 6 | TRL 7 | TRL 8 | TRL 9 | | |
| Definition | Basic principles observed and reported | Technology concept and/or applications formulated | Analytical and experimental function and/or characteristic proof of concept | Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment | Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment | System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment | System prototype demonstrated in an operational environment | Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstration | Actual system proven through successful mission operations | |

APPENDIX C: AMCRC Project Preparation and Selection Processes

